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OARSMT Problem Formulation

Obstacle-avoiding rectilinear Steiner minimal tree

- **Input**
  - A set of pins
  - A set of rectilinear obstacles

- **Output**
  - A rectilinear Steiner tree
    - Minimizing total wirelength
    - Connecting all pins
    - Avoiding all obstacles

- Applications in routing, wirelength estimation, etc.
- NP-complete
- More than ten heuristics proposed since 2005
Our Contributions

- An OARSMT algorithm called FOARS (FLUTE Based Obstacle-Avoiding Rectilinear Steiner Tree)
  - Outstanding wirelength
  - Efficient
  - Scalable: O(n log n) time
    - where n = # pins + # obstacle corners

- New Ideas:
  - Approach to leverage FLUTE for OARSMT construction
  - An efficient obstacle-aware partitioning technique
  - Algorithm to construct obstacle-avoiding spanning graph with good properties
If There Is No Obstacle

- Rectilinear Steiner Minimal Tree (RSMT) problem

- FLUTE -- Fast LookUp Table Estimation [TCAD 08]
  - Extremely fast and accurate
    - More accurate than BI1S heuristic
    - Almost as fast as minimum spanning tree construction

- Can we leverage FLUTE for OARSMT construction?
Obstacle-Aware FLUTE (OA-FLUTE)

// P = set of pins, OB = set of obstacles
Function OA-FLUTE(P, OB)
  T = FLUTE(P)  // ignore obstacles
  If (T overlaps with obstacle)
    Partition into several sub-problems P₁,…,Pₜ
    T = OA-FLUTE(P₁, OB) + ... + OA-FLUTE(Pₜ, OB)
  Return T

Two possible types of overlap:
1. An edge is completely blocked by an obstacle

2. A Steiner node is on top of an obstacle
Type 1: Edge over Obstacle

- Partition pins according to the overlapping edge
  - Include obstacle corners
- Apply OA-FLUTE recursively on sub-problems to obtain sub-trees
- Merge sub-trees and exclude corners
Type 2: Steiner Node over Obstacle

- Partition pins according to the overlapping Steiner node
  - Include obstacle corners
- Apply OA-FLUTE recursively on sub-problems to obtain sub-trees
- Merge sub-trees and exclude corners
Problems with OA-FLUTE

- Does not work well if:
  1. Routing region is too cluttered by obstacles
     Reason: Partitioning based on initial tree which ignores obstacles
  2. There are too many pins
     Reason: Performance of FLUTE starts to deteriorate for more than a hundred pins

- Need a better way to partition the pins
- Then OA-FLUTE can be called to handle each sub-problem
FOARS Overview

1. Partitioning Pins
   - Obstacle-Avoiding Spanning Graph (OASG)
   - Minimum Terminal Spanning Tree (MTST)
   - Obstacle Penalized Minimum Spanning Tree (OPMST)
   - Partition according to OPMST to obtain sub-problems

2. Fixing tree topology and Steiner node locations
   - Applying OA-FLUTE to Sub-problems

3. Routing edges between Steiner nodes / pins
   - Rectilinearize edges to create OARSMT
   - V-shape refinement
Connection Graphs

- To capture the proximity information amongst pins and obstacle corners

Previous connection graphs:
- Escape Graph (Ganley et al. [ISCAS 94])
  - $O(n^2)$ edges

- Delaunay Triangulation
  - $O(n^2)$ edges

- Obstacle-Avoiding Spanning Graph (OASG)
  - Extension of spanning graph (Zhou et al. [ASPDAC 01])
  - $O(n)$ edges
Problem with Previous OASG

- Previous OASG Approaches:
  - Shen et al. [ICCD 05]
  - Lin et al. [ISPD 07]
    - Adding “essential edges” → $O(n^2)$ edges
  - Long et al. [ISPD 08]

- All considered quadrant partition
  - May not contain RMST even in the absence of obstacle
Our OASG Approach

- Generalization of Zhou’s Approach
  - If no obstacle, same as Zhou’s original algorithm, i.e., presence of RMST guaranteed

- Octant partition

- O(n) edges
OASG Example
Minimum Terminal Spanning Tree (MTST)

- Use the technique proposed by Wu et al. [ACTA INFORMATICA 86]
Obstacle Penalized Minimum Spanning Tree

MTST

OPMST

Edge weight
= Wirelength considering detour
Partitioning Pins

- **Partition:**
  1. If an edge is completely blocked by an obstacle
  2. If # pins in sub-tree > 20

Apply OA-FLUTE to each sub-problem
Tree After OA-FLUTE

Wirelength 25980
Rectilinearization of Slanted Edges

- Four possible cases for any slanted edge
  1. Both L-shape paths are obstacle free
  2. Both L-shape paths are blocked by one obstacle
  3. One L-shape path is blocked and other is free
  4. Both L-shape path are blocked but by different obstacles
V-Shape Refinement

- Replace any two adjacent edges with a Steiner tree
- Improve wirelength by 1-2%
After Rectilinearization & Refinement

Wirelength 25290
Experimental Results

- Algorithm implemented in C
- Comparison with latest binaries from:
  - Lin et al. [ISPD 07]
  - Long et al. [ISPD 08]
  - Li et al. [ICCAD 08]
- All experiments were performed on a 3GHz AMD Athlon 64 X2 Dual Core Machine (use only 1 core)
- Four sets of benchmarks, 27 benchmark circuits
  - RC01-RC12: randomly generated by Feng et al. [ISPD 06]
  - RT01-RT05: randomly generated by Lin et al. [ISPD 07]
  - IND1-IND5: Synopsys industrial testcases from Synopsys in Lin et al. [ISPD 07]
  - RL01-RL05: larger testcases randomly generated by Long et al. [ISPD 08]
## Wirelength and Runtime Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lin et al. ISPD 07</th>
<th>Long et al. ISPD 08</th>
<th>Li et al. ICCAD 08</th>
<th>FOARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized Wirelength</strong></td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>1.027</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized Runtime</strong></td>
<td>78.45</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>29.36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OARSMT for RT10

10 Pins, 500 Obstacles
Obstacle-Free Testcase

RC03 without obstacles, 50 Pins

FOARS Wirelength: 53050

FLUTE-2.5 Wirelength: 53400
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